arXiv:quant-ph/9505010v1 18 May 1995

High order behaviour of perturbation recursive relations

O.Yu. Shvedov

Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences,

60-th October Anniversary Prospect 7a, Moscow 117312, Russia

and

Moscow State University

Vorobievy gory, Moscow 119899, Russia

February 1, 2008

Abstract

The problem of large order behaviour of perturbation theory for quantum mechanical systems is considered. A new approach to it is developed. An explicit mechanism showing the connection between large order recursive relations and classical euclidean equations of motion is found. Large order asymptotics of the solution to the recursive relations is constructed. The developed method is applicable to the excited states, as well as to the ground state. Singular points of the obtained asymptotics of the perturbation series for eigenfunctions and density matrices are investigated and formulas being valid near such points are obtained.

1 Introduction

A factorial growth of perturbation series coefficients which implies the divergence of the expansion [1] has been studied in many papers (see, for example, [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]). The corresponding large order asymptotics is usually constructed by representing the k-th order of perturbation theory as a functional integral and applying the saddle-point technique to the obtained formula. Therefore, high order behaviour of peturbation theory is specified by saddle points expressed in terms of classical euclidean solutions.

Examples of emloyment of this method are:

i) calculating large order asymptotics for quantities like euclidean Green functions both in quantum mechanics and quantum field theory [2];

ii) finding high order behaviour of energy level perturbation theory in quantum mechanics [5, 6, 7, 8];

iii) evaluating the asymptotics of ground state eigenfunction perturbation theory as the number of order k tends to infinity and the wave function argument is of order $\sqrt{k}$ [9].

It is the form

$$\mathcal{H}(\sqrt{g}\hat{p}, \sqrt{g}\hat{q})/g \tag{1}$$

of the dependence of the Hamiltonian on the perturbation theory parameter g that allows us to make use of the saddle-point method and to clarify the role of classical euclidean solutions. Here $\hat{p}$ is a momentum operator, $\hat{q}$ is a coordinate operator, the behaviour of the Hamiltonian as its argument tends to zero is like $\hat{p}^2/2 + \hat{q}^2/2$ (the harmonic oscilator Hamiltonian), so there are no terms of order $1/g, 1/\sqrt{g}$. If the form of the Hamiltonian differs from (1), one can separate it into a leading ("classical") part having the form (1) that determines classical euclidean equations of motion and a correction ("quantum part") being of a form like $g^{\alpha} f(\sqrt{g}\hat{p}, \sqrt{g}\hat{q}), \alpha \geq 0$, which can influence only a pre-exponential factor (some examples are given in [7, 8]).

However, one can develop the perturbation theory not only by making use of the path integral representation for corresponding quantities. As a rule, when one investigates quantum mechanical case and, in particular, checks the large order asymptotics by numerical calculation [10], one applies another technique, namely, one studies the perturbation recursive relations expressing the k-th order of perturbation theory for the eigenvalue and the eigenfunction in terms of preceding orders. Large orders of the recursive relations have not been analyzed except for the case of the quartic potential, $\mathcal{H} = \hat{p}^2/2 + \hat{q}^2/2 - g\hat{q}^4$, see [3, 4]. The explicit mechanism showing the correspondence between recursive relations and euclidean classical solutions has not been considered yet. It is studied in this paper.

The main idea of the method is the following. First, perturbation recursive relations is written in terms of the operator of differentiation $\partial/\partial k$ with respect to the perturbation theory order k. Namely, the expression for the k-th order Ψ_k of the wave function perturbation expansion contains the preceding orders Ψ_{k-p} which can be expressed as $e^{-p\frac{\partial}{\partial k}}\Psi_k$. Second, consider large order behaviour of recursive relations, i.e. let k be proportional to some large parameter N. As it is shown in section 2, there exists such rescaling of the wave function argument (being n-dimensional in the case of the n-dimensional quantum mechanics) and of the eigenfunction that after it the coefficients of the derivation operators become of order 1/N. This allows us to find the asymptotic solution to the modified equation in a form, analogous to the tunnel semiclassical approximation, namely, e^{-NS}, where the function S depending on the rescaled coordinates and on the ratio k/N satisfies the equation of a Hamilton-Jacobi type. As it is known, for solving such equation, one must consider the corresponding Hamiltonian system. As the number of arguments of the function S is equal to $n+1$ (one more coordinate, k/N, is added), the classical Hamiltonian system corresponding to recursive relations contains one more coordinate and one more canonically conjugated momentum. It occurs that the solutions to this $(n+1)$-dimensional Hamiltonian system can be expressed in terms of the solutions to an n-dimensional Hamiltonian system associated with the euclidean equation of motion (see section 2 for more details).

In order to find the solution to Hamilton-Jacobi equation, one must know boundary conditions for the function S. For finding such conditions, one can make use of the following observation. The k-th order of the eigenfunction perturbation theory can be presented as a product of a polynomial function by a Gaussian exponent (it is the ground state wave function of the non-perturbated system being a harmonic oscilator). The polynomial function can be approximated at large x by a leading power function. The coefficient of it is calculable explicitly. On the other hand, an asymptotic formula must be valid, in particular, at large values of an argument. Section 3 contains a comparison of these two formulas and obtaining a requirement for the function S, as well as for the pre-exponential factor, as their argument tends to infinity. This allows us to solve corresponding equation. Section 4 deals with such calculation.

The obtained formulas being applicable not only to the ground state perturbation theory but also to the excited state perturbaton theory are valid when the eigenfunction argument is of order $\sqrt{k}$. When it is fixed, the asymptotics has not a saddle-point form [9], but, nevertheless, can be obtained by recursive relations analysis. Such derivation is presented in section 5.

Section 6 contains finding the densiy matrix large order asymptotics and calculation of some matrix elements. Section 7 deals with concluding remarks.

2 Perturbation recursive relations and Hamiltonian systems

The purpose of this section is to show a connection between perturbation recursive relations associated with the Hamiltonians having the dependence (1) on the perturbation theory parameter and the Hamiltonian system associated with the classical analog of eq.(1).

Consider for the simplicity the one-dimensional quantum mechanical case, choose the Hamiltonian to be a sum of kinetic and potential terms and suppose the potential to be even, so

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{g}V(\sqrt{g}x) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{x^2}{2} + ga_4x^4 + g^2a_6x^6 + ... \tag{2}$$

Examine the perturbation theory for the equation $\mathcal{H}\Psi = E\Psi$. Both eigenvalue and eigenfunction can be expanded into asymptotic series,

$$E_n = \sum g^k E_{n,k}, \Psi_n(x) = \sum g^k \Psi_{n,k}(x).$$

Here n is a number of energy level. Notice that the wave function is expanded at fixed value of its argument x. For the zeroth order of perturbation theory we have an oscilator equation,

$$(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{x^2}{2} - E_{n,0})\Psi_{n,0} = 0.$$

The n-th energy level is equal to $E_{n,0} = n + 1/2$, while the wave function has the form of a product of the n-th degree Hermite polynomial by the Gaussian exponent,

$$\Psi_{n,0} = const H_n(x)e^{-x^2/2}.$$

Let us choose the constant in this formula in order to make the coefficient of the leading power x^n to be equal to 1, so large x behaviour of the n-th state eigenfunction is as follows,

$$\Psi_{n,0}(x) \sim x^n e^{-x^2/2}, x \to \infty. \tag{3}$$

The perturbation theory can be developed by the following recursive relations obtained from eq.(2),

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2}+\frac{x^2}{2}\right)\Psi_{n,k}(x)+a_4x^4\Psi_{n,k-1}(x)+...=\sum_{j=0}^{k}E_{n,j}\Psi_{n,k-j}(x). \tag{4}$$

Notice that $\Psi_{n,k}$ is defined from this relation up to a factor $const\Psi_{n,0}$, so one must impose an additional condition on $\Psi_{n,k}$, for example, the following,

$$\frac{d^n}{dx^n}(e^{x^2/2}\Psi_{n,k})(x=0)=0, k\geq 1. \tag{5}$$

Consider the construction of high order asymptotics. Suppose k to be large, i.e. to be proportional to some large perameter N, $k=N\kappa$. The aim is to find such rescaling of coordinate x and function $\Psi_{n,k}$ that provides a possibility of searching the asymptotics in a form $e^{-NS(q)}$, where q is a set of rescaled coordinates and κ. Such rescaling of x is $x=\sqrt{N}\eta$, namely, the expression $(-d^2/dx^2+x^2)e^{-NS(\kappa,\eta)}$ is then approximately equal to $N(-(\partial S/\partial\eta)^2+\eta^2)e^{-NS(\kappa,\eta)}$, i.e. these two terms are of the same order. To make other terms in the left-hand side of eq.(4) of this order it is necessary to suppose that $\Psi_{n,k}$ is approximately in N times greater than $\Psi_{n,k-1}$, so we come to the following substitution,

$$k=N\kappa, x=\sqrt{N}\eta, \Psi_{n,k}=N^k\phi_n(\kappa,\eta)\sim N^ke^{-NS(\kappa,\eta)}, N\to\infty. \tag{6}$$

These relations show us that one can find large k asymptotics as $x/\sqrt{k}\to const$. The latter condition coincides with obtained in [9] by the path integral technique for the ground state.

We can notice that all the terms of the left-hand side of recursive relations (4) play an important role in constructing large order asymptotics because of the dependence (1) of the Hamiltonian. If there were terms such as g^2bx^4 (for example, if the potential had a form $x^2/2+g^2(bx^4+ax^6)$) then these terms would not influence a leading order approximation for S. This is in agreement with [7].

One can also expect that $E_{n,k}$ asymptotics has the form,

$$E_{n,k} \sim N^k e^{-NS(0,\kappa)}, \tag{7}$$

where the variable η is substituted by zero. Namely, function $\Psi_{n,k}$ is presented as a product of a polynomial function by a Gaussian exponent,

$$\Psi_{n,k} = \sum A_{n,k,l} x^l e^{-x^2/2}. \tag{8}$$

As the condition (5) is chosen, $A_{n,k,n} = 0$. It is easy to obtain from eq.(4) that $E_{n,k} = (n+1)(n+2)A_{n,k,n+2}/2 + \sum_{p=4}^{n} a_p A_{n,k,n-p}$, i.e. $E_{n,k}$ is expressed as a finite sum (the number of terms does not depend on k) containing the derivatives of finite order (which also does not depend on k) of the function $\Psi_{n,k}(x)e^{x^2/2}$ as its argument is equal to zero. As the $\Psi_{n,k}$ asymptotics has the form (6), we can make a limit $\eta \to 0$ and come to eq.(7).

It will be shown that $S(\eta,\kappa) > S(0,\kappa)$. This implies that we can omit the terms in the right-hand side of eq.(4) as $j \sim k$ because they are exponentially small in comparison with the each order of the left-hand side. This omission is not valid only if q belongs to a small region near zero which decreases as k increases.

Notice also that if $j \sim 1$ then the corresponding term in the right-hand side of eq.(4) is of order $1/N^{j+1}$ in comparison with all terms in the left-hand side and, therefore, can influence only a pre-exponential factor and corrections. Thus, the function S is determined only by left-hand side terms and does not depend on a number of energy level.

We can also rewrite quantities such as $\Psi_{n,k-p}$ as $e^{-p\partial/\partial k}\Psi_{n,k} = e^{-\frac{p}{N}\frac{\partial}{\partial\kappa}}\Psi_{n,k}$ and, therefore, come to the following form of recursive relations,

$$[H(q, -\frac{1}{N}\frac{\partial}{\partial q}) - \frac{1}{N}E_{n,0}]\phi_n(\eta,\kappa) = 0, \tag{9}$$

where q is a set of η and κ, while

$$H(q, -\frac{1}{N}\frac{\partial}{\partial q}) = -\frac{1}{2N^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\eta^2} + e^{\frac{1}{N}\frac{\partial}{\partial\kappa}} V(\eta e^{-\frac{1}{2N}\frac{\partial}{\partial\kappa}}). \tag{10}$$

The terms of order lesser than $1/N$ are omitted in eq.(9). The generalization of formula (9) to multidimensional case is straightforward.

Let us look for the ϕ asymptotics in a form [11],

$$\phi_n(q) = N^a \chi_n(q) e^{-NS(q)} (1 + O(1/N)), \tag{11}$$

where a is a parameter of order $O(1)$. One can obtain the Hamilton-Jacobi equation for S,

$$H(q, \partial S/\partial q) = 0. \tag{12}$$

In order to solve it, one must consider the corresponding classical Hamiltonian system. In the present case, the classical Hamiltonian function depends on two coordinates η and κ, two momenta, p_η and p_κ, and can be obtained from eq.(10) by substituting the operators $-N^{-1}\partial/\partial\eta$ and $-N^{-1}\partial/\partial\kappa$ by p_η and p_κ correspondingly,

$$H(\eta, \kappa, p_\eta, p_\kappa) = \frac{1}{2} p_\eta^2 - e^{-p_\kappa} V(\eta e^{p_\kappa/2}). \tag{13}$$

Let us consider it in more details. First of all, the function (13) does not depend on the coordinate κ, so the momentum p_κ is an integral of motion. Then, the quantities

$$Q = \eta e^{p_\kappa/2}, P = p_\eta e^{p_\kappa/2} \tag{14}$$

satisfy the euclidean equations of motion,

$$\dot{Q} = P, \dot{P} = V^{'}(Q). \tag{15}$$

Thus, the examination of the Hamiltonian system corresponding to the perturbative recursive relations can be reduced to the study of the Hamiltonian system associated with moving of a particle in the inverted potential. If the latter Hamiltonian system is integrable (for example, in one-dimensional case), the Hamiltonian system (15) is integrable, too. The equation for κ has the form,

$$\dot{\kappa} = e^{-p_\kappa} \left[1 - \frac{Q}{2} \frac{d}{dQ} \right] V(Q) \tag{16}$$

and can be solved exactly.

For finding large order asymptotics of matrix elements, it is useful to know high order behaviour of perturbation theory for quantities like $\rho^{m,n}(x,y) = \Psi_m(x)\Psi_n(y)$. It can be found by making use of the $\Psi_{n,k}$ asymptotics (this technique is developed in section 6). But another way of constructing $\rho^{m,n}(x,y)$ large order asymptotics is to apply technique developed in this section. Namely, the function $\rho^{m,n}(x,y)$ obeys the equation,

$$[-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{1}{g}V(\sqrt{g}x) + \frac{1}{g}V(\sqrt{g}y) - E_m - E_n]\rho^{m,n}(x,y) = 0. \qquad (17)$$

One can develop the perturbation theory $\rho^{m,n}(x,y) = \sum g^k \rho_k^{m,n}(x,y)$, change the variables $k = N\kappa, x = \sqrt{N}\eta_1, y = \sqrt{N}\eta_2, \rho_k^{m,n} = N^k R_{m,n}$, rewrite the recursive relations corresponding to eq. (17) in a way analogous to eq.(9), obtain a Hamilton-Jacobi equation and reduce it to a Hamiltonian system that contains three coordinates x, η_1, η_2, three momenta $p_\kappa, p_{\eta_1}, p_{\eta_2}$. The corresponding Hamiltonian function is then

$$H = p_{\eta_1}^2/2 + p_{\eta_2}^2/2 - e^{-p_\kappa}V(\eta_1 e^{p_\kappa/2}) - e^{-p_\kappa}V(\eta_2 e^{p_\kappa/2}).$$

The corresponding Hamiltonian equations can be solved as well as in previous case.

One can also examine the perturbation theory for eigenfunctions in other representations. It occurs that perturbative recursive relations in any presentation are connected with the Hamiltonian system related with the investigated one by a canonical transformation. Thus, consideration of the high orders is associated with the investigation of the euclidean equations of motion (15).

Let us illustrate this declaration by an example. Consider for the simplicity case of the ground state, i.e. $n = 0$. The function $\Psi_{0,k}$ has then the form (8),

$$\Psi_{0,k}(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{2k} A_{k,m} x^{2m} e^{-x^2/2},$$

where the polynomial coefficients satisfy the relations:

$$-(m+1)(2m+1)A_{k,m+1}+2mA_{k,m}+a_4A_{k-1,m-2}+a_6A_{k-2,m-3}+...=\sum_{j=1}^{k}E_{0,j}A_{k-j,m}.$$

Assume that k and m are proportional to a large parameter,$k = N\kappa, m = N\mu$, and substract the factor N^{k-m} from the coefficients, $A_{k,m} = N^{k-m}\mathcal{A}_{k,m}$. For the reduced coefficients $\mathcal{A}_{k,m}$ one can obtain in a leading order a pseudodifferential equation,

$$H(\mu,\kappa,-\frac{1}{N}\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu},-\frac{1}{N}\frac{\partial}{\partial\kappa})\mathcal{A}=0,$$

where the corresponding Hamiltonian have the form

$$H(\mu,\kappa,p_\mu,p_\kappa)=2(\mu e^{-p_\mu/2}-e^{p_\mu/2}/2)^2-e^{-p_\kappa}V(e^{(p_\kappa+p_\mu)/2})$$

and can be obtained from the investigated one by the canonical transformation:

$$p_\mu=2\ln\eta,\mu=\eta(\eta-p_\eta)/2.$$

Thus, one can apply the same technique in order to obtain high order asymptotics in other representations.

3 Large x behaviour of the $\Psi_{n,k}$ asymptotics and boundary conditions

As it has been shown in previous section, the problem of finding the large order asymptotics for the wave function perturbation theory is reduced to the problem of solving the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (12) and the equation for χ_n which is obtainable from eq.(9). To solve them, one must know boundary conditions which are to be found from other reasoning.

For this purpose, consider the behaviour of $\Psi_{n,k}$ at large x. This allows us to impose boundary conditions on the functions χ_n, S at large η.

As $\Psi_{n,k}$ has the form (8), large x behaviour of it can be obtained by approximating the polynomial function by the leading order,

$$\Psi_{n,k}(x) \sim c_{n,k} x^{4k+n} e^{-x^2/2}. \tag{18}$$

Quantities $c_{n,k}$ obey recursive relations,$4kc_{n,k} + a_4 c_{n,k-1} = 0$,so

$$c_k = (-a_4/4)^k/k!.$$

Let us make use of eq.(18) in order to find the conditions to be imposed on the functions S, χ_n and the constant a. Changing the variables (6) and employing the asymptotic formula for $k!$ at large k,$k! \sim (k/e)^k\sqrt{2\pi k}$, we find that

$$\phi_n \sim N^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{\eta^n}{\sqrt{2\pi\eta}} e^{-N\eta^2/2} e^{N\kappa[\ln(-\frac{a_4\eta^4}{4\kappa})+1]}.$$

Thus, one can expect that

$$a = (n-1)/2, \chi_n \sim \frac{\eta^n}{\sqrt{2\pi\kappa}}, S \sim \frac{\eta^2}{2} - \kappa\ln(-\frac{a_4\eta^4}{4\kappa}) - \kappa \tag{19}$$

at larges η. Let us discuss now when the approximation (19) works. In order to answer this question notice that the large parameter N can be chosen in different ways, it can be rescaled, $N \rightarrow \alpha N$. Then other variables also rescale, $\kappa \rightarrow \kappa/\alpha$, $\eta \rightarrow \eta/\sqrt{\alpha}$, $\phi \rightarrow \phi\alpha^{-k}$. As the function $\Psi_{n,k}$ does not alter, we have

$$N^{k+a} e^{-NS(\kappa,\eta)} \chi_n(\kappa, \eta) = (N\alpha)^{k+a} e^{-N\alpha S(\kappa/\alpha, \eta/\sqrt{\alpha})} \chi_n(\kappa/\alpha, \eta/\sqrt{\alpha}).$$

Therefore, the following properties of χ_n,S

$$\chi_n(\kappa, \eta) = \alpha^a \chi_n(\kappa/\alpha, \eta/\sqrt{\alpha}), S(\kappa, \eta) = -\kappa\ln\alpha + \alpha S(\kappa/\alpha, \eta/\sqrt{\alpha}),$$

which are obviously satisfied for eqs.(19), take place. We see that the values of these functions at fixed $\eta/\sqrt{\kappa}$ are related with each other. Thus, we notice that if the approximation (19) is valid at some value of η, κ then it is applicable at all values of

η, κ having the same ratio $\eta/\sqrt{\kappa}$. Therefore, $\eta/\sqrt{\kappa}$ is the only parameter determining whether approximation (19) is valid or not. Thus, eq.(19) is applicable at

$$\eta/\sqrt{\kappa} \gg 1. \tag{20}$$

Taking into account eq.(19) as a boundary condition for S, χ_n, find the solution to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (12) and the equation for χ_n obtained also from eq.(9).

4 Large order asymptotics for the eigenfunction perturbation theory

In this section the functions S, χ_n and the constant a are found,so that large order behaviour of the eigenfunction perturbation theory is obtained.

4.1 Finding a solution to Hamilton-Jacobi equation

In order to obtain a solution to the stationary Hamilton-Jacobi equation let us consider first a non-stationary equation for the function $S(q,t)$ depending on l coordinates q and time parameter t,

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + H(q, \frac{\partial S}{\partial q}) = 0,$$

and remind a helpful property of its solution (see, for example, [11]). Consider the $2l$-dimensional phase space with first l coordinates being momenta p and the rest being coordinates q. Examine the l-dimensional surface in it,

$$p_i(q) = \frac{\partial S}{\partial q_i} \tag{21}$$

depending on t (the arguments q,t of the function S are omitted in eq. (21)). Consider any point (p^0, q^0) on the surface (21) when $t = 0$ and its time evolution, i.e. the trajectory (p^t, q^t) in the phase space which satisfies the Hamiltonian system,

$$\dot{p}_i^t = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}, \dot{q}_i^t = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}.$$

It can be shown [11] that the point (p^t, q^t) belongs to the surface (21) at time moment t.

Making use of this property, let us find a solution to the stationary equation (12) which obeys eq.(19) under condition (20). This means that the surface (21) transforms under this condition to the surface

$$p_\kappa \sim -\ln(-\frac{a_4\eta^4}{4\kappa}), p_\eta \sim \eta(1 - \frac{4\kappa}{\eta^2}) \sim \eta. \tag{22}$$

Reconstruct now the whole surface (21), making use of the asymptotics (22). We know that the surface (21) is invariant under time evolution, so we can consider the surface consisting of the trajectories that satisfy Hamiltonian systam and start from the asymptotical surface (22). Consider any point on it and treat it as an initial condition for the Hamiltonian system associated with eq.(13), which reduces after substitution (14) to eq.(15). An initial condition for it is as follows,

$$P \sim Q \sim \sqrt{-\frac{4\kappa}{a_4\eta^4}}$$

We see that if the condition (20) is satisfied then this point (P, Q) is closed to the minimum of the Hamiltonian (1),$P = Q = 0$, so that the trajectory should start from it. To countrbalance it, the parameter p_κ can take on any value under condition (20). Therefore, the surface (21) consists of the following treajectories,

$$\eta(p_\kappa, \tau) = Q(\tau)e^{-p_\kappa/2}, p_\eta(p_\kappa, \tau) = P(\tau)e^{-p_\kappa/2},$$

$$\tag{23}$$

$$\kappa(p_\kappa, \tau) = \lambda(\tau)e^{-p_\kappa}, p_\kappa(p_\kappa, \tau) = p_\kappa,$$

where $P(\tau), Q(\tau)$ is a solution to eq.(15) which starts from zero as $\tau \to -\infty$, the parameter $\lambda(\tau)$ is found from eq.(16) and condition $\lambda(-\infty) = 0$ derived from eq.(22). It has the form

$$\lambda(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} d\tau (1 - \frac{Q}{2}\frac{d}{dQ}) V(Q(\tau)).$$

The parameter τ is defined up to shifting by a constant. In order to fix it choose the parameter c in the $\tau \to -\infty$ asymptotics, $Q(\tau) \sim ce^{\tau}$, to be equal to 1.

Consider an example. Examine the function V in eq.(2) which is depicted in fig.1a. It has a relative minimum at $Q = 0$, is positive as $|Q| < Q_+$ and negative as $|Q| > Q_+$. The euclidean classical solution to eq. (15) starting from $P = Q = 0$ as $\tau \to -\infty$ behaves as follows (fig.1b). At first stage the coordinate Q increases, then the solution reflects from the turning point Q_+ and comes back as $\tau \to +\infty$ to point $P = Q = 0$. The parameter λ has then the form

$$\lambda(\tau) = s(\tau) - Q(\tau)\dot{Q}(\tau)/2, \tag{24}$$

where $s(\tau)$ is the action of the euclidean solution, $s(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} (\dot{Q}^2/2 + V(Q))$. The quantity λ has the meaning of the area of the section-lined region in fig.1b. The corresponding curves at $\kappa - \eta$ space at various values of p_κ are shown in fig.2. In order to convert from quantities κ, η to quantities p_κ, τ one should first find the parameter τ from the relation between it and $\xi = \eta/\sqrt{\kappa}$,

$$\xi = Q(\tau)/\sqrt{\lambda(\tau)} \tag{25}$$

and then obtain $p_\kappa = \ln(\lambda/\kappa)$. Notice that large values of ξ correspond to $\tau \to -\infty$, while small values of ξ are associated with $\tau \to +\infty$. For the simplicity, consider the case, when the dependence between ξ and τ is one-to-one, i.e. $\dot{\xi} < 0$ everywhere. In general case, the solution to Hamilton-Jacobi equation is multivalued, and it is necessary to apply the Maslov canonical operator technique [11] for finding the asymptotic solution to eq.(9).

Instead of the parameter τ, one can use the variable Q such as $Q = Q(\tau)$. The correspondence between ξ and Q being multivalued is shown in fig.1c.

In order to find the function S satisfying eq.(21) consider the integral

$$\int p_\kappa d\kappa + p_\eta d\eta \tag{26}$$

which does not depend on the curve connecting two points and equals to

$$(s(\tau) + \lambda(\tau) p_\kappa) e^{-p_\kappa} + const \tag{27}$$

The derivatives of it with respect to κ and η are equal to the functions p_κ and p_η after expression of their arguments p_κ and τ through the quantities κ and η. As the surface (23) lies on the surface $H = 0$, the function (27) obeys the stationary Hamilton-Jacobi equation (12). Because of the boundary conditions (19), the constant in eq.(27) is equal to zero. Converting the parameter p_κ to κ, τ, we obtain that

$$S(\kappa, \eta) = \kappa \left(\frac{s(\tau)}{\lambda(\tau)} + \ln \frac{\lambda(\tau)}{\kappa(\tau)} \right), \tag{28}$$

the argument η is to be expressed through the parameter τ according to eq.(25). Substituting eq.(28) to eq.(11), making use of the asymptotic formula for $k!$ at larges k and applying the expression $x/\sqrt{k} = \xi$, we obtain that

$$\Psi_{n,k}(\xi\sqrt{k}) \sim k! e^{-kA(\xi)}, \tag{29}$$

where the pre-exponential factor is omitted,

$$A(\xi) = \frac{s(\tau)}{\lambda(\tau)} + \ln \lambda(\tau) - 1 \tag{30}$$

Remember now that the right-hand side of the recursive relations (4) has been neglected. This procedure is valid if $e^{-kA(\xi)} \gg e^{-kA(0)} e^{-k\xi^2/2}$. Consider the function $f(\xi) = A(0) + \xi^2/2 - A(\xi)$. At $\xi = 0$ we have $f(\xi) = 0$, while $f'(\xi) = (Q - \dot{Q})/\sqrt{\lambda}$. When $\dot{Q} < 0$, this quantity is obviously positive. As we have supposed ξ to be negative, the quantity $\dot{\lambda}$ should be positive at $\dot{Q} > 0$, i.e. $\dot{Q}^2 - Q\ddot{Q} > 0$. This means that $\dot{Q} < Q$. Thus, the derivative $f^{'}(\xi)$ is positive everywhere, so that $f(\xi) > 0$. The right-hand side of eq.(4) can be omitted when $kf(\xi) \gg 1$,i.e.

$$k\xi^2 \gg 1 \tag{31}$$

because at small ξ the function f is of order ξ^2.

Exponential asymptotics (29) can be also checked by numerical calculations. Fig.3 contains the graphs of functions

$$A_k(\xi) = -\frac{1}{k} \ln |\frac{\Psi_{0,k}(\xi\sqrt{k})}{k!}| \tag{32}$$

as $k = 10, 20, 30$ (dashed lines) and function (30) (solid line). The potential has the form

$$V(Q) = Q^2/2 - Q^4. \tag{33}$$

We see that functions $A_k(\xi)$ indeed tend to $A(\xi)$ at larges k.

4.2 Calculation of the pre-exponential factor

For finding the pre-exponential factor in eq.(11) consider its substitution to eq.(9). The following equation for χ_n can be obtained:

$$\left[H(q, \frac{\partial S}{\partial q} - \frac{1}{N}\frac{\partial}{\partial q}) + \frac{1}{N} E_{n,0} \right] \chi = 0, \tag{34}$$

Expand the function H into a series in $1/N$ [11]. The term of order $O(1)$ vanishes because of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation, the term of order $O(1/N)$ determines the equation for function χ_n. This equation has the form:

$$\left(-\frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_m} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_m} - \frac{1}{2N} \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial p_m \partial p_n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_m \partial q_n} + E_{n,0} \right) \chi(q) = 0, \tag{35}$$

where the summation over repeated indices m, n is assumed, the coordinates κ, η are denoted by q_1, q_2, the momenta p_κ, p_η are denoted by p_1, p_2. Formula (35) can be derived by the expansion of the function H into a series in powers of the momenta and by checking this formula for power functions. Let us substitute variables q for parameters τ, p_κ according to (23). The operator $\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_m}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_m}$ transforms then to the operator of differentiation with respect to τ.

In order to simplify eq.(30) notice that the Jacobian of the substitution τ, p_κ by q,

$$J(\tau, p_\kappa) = \frac{D(\eta,\kappa)}{D(\tau, p_\kappa)} \tag{36}$$

satisfies the equation [11]

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \tau} = (\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial p_m \partial p_n}\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial q_m \partial q_n} + \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial p_m \partial q_m})J.$$

Making use of the nullification of the Hamiltonian second derivatives with respect to p_m, q_m and substracting the factor $J^{-1/2}$ from the function χ_n: $\chi_n = f_n/\sqrt{J}$, one finds the equation for the function f_n

$$\frac{\partial f_n}{\partial \tau} = (n+1/2)f_n.$$

Therefore, the function f_n, as well as χ_n, is defined up to an arbitrary factor which depends on p_κ and does not depend on τ. Let us use the fact that the Jacobian J has the following form in this case,

$$J = \exp\left(-\frac{3p_\kappa}{2}\right)\left[-\lambda\dot{Q} + \frac{Q}{2}\dot{\lambda}\right],$$

and substract the square root of $e^{-3p_\kappa/2}$ into a total τ-independent factor. The function χ_n has then the form:

$$\chi_n = \frac{e^{\tau(n+1/2)}g(e^{p_\kappa})}{\sqrt{Q\dot{\lambda}/2 - \lambda\dot{Q}}}, \tag{37}$$

To find the function g, let us make use of the condition (18) being valid at large ξ, i.e. at $\tau \to -\infty$. Under these circumstamces we have:

$$Q \sim e^\tau, V - QV^{'}/2 \sim -a_4 Q^4, \lambda \sim -a_4 e^{4\tau}/4,$$

so that

$$\chi_n \sim \frac{g(e^{p_\kappa})}{\sqrt{-a_4/4}} e^{\tau(n-2)}.$$

Consider now eq.(19) for χ_n and substitute the variables η, κ by τ, p_κ according to eq.(23). The following expression can be found,

$$\chi_n \sim \frac{e^{(n-2)\tau} e^{-\frac{n-1}{2} p_\kappa}}{\sqrt{-a_4/4}\sqrt{2\pi}}.$$

Comparing two obtained expressions for χ_n, we find that

$$g(e^{p_\kappa}) = e^{-\frac{n-1}{2} p_\kappa}/\sqrt{2\pi}. \tag{38}$$

Making use of eq.(37) for χ_n, eq.(38) for the function g, the relation (19) for the constant a and eqs.(23), collecting all factors in the asymptotics (11) and applying the asymptotic formula for $k!$, one can obtain that

$$\Psi_{n,k}(\xi\sqrt{k}) = \frac{e^{(n+1/2)\tau}}{\sqrt{Q\dot{\lambda}/2 - \lambda\dot{Q}}} \frac{k!}{2\pi\sqrt{k}} (k/\lambda)^{(n-1)/2} e^{-kA(\xi)} (1 + O(1/k)). \tag{39}$$

An interesting feature of eq.(39) is the absence of the singularity in the turning point: although the velocity $\dot{Q}$ vanishes in this point, the Jacobian is finite because $\dot{\lambda} \neq 0$.

Formula (39) can be also checked numerically. The graphs of the functions

$$M_k(\xi) = \frac{\Psi_{0,k}(\xi\sqrt{k})}{(k-1)! e^{-kA(\xi)}} \tag{40}$$

for the ground state wave function and the potential (33), as well as the function

$$M(\xi) = \frac{e^{\tau/2}\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi\sqrt{Q\dot{\lambda}/2 - \lambda\dot{Q}}}. \tag{41}$$

are shown in fig.4 at $k = 10, 20, 30, 40$. Fig.4 shows us that the asymptotics (39) is good at all values of ξ except some region near the point $\xi = 0$. The size of this region decreases as k increases. This is in agreement with eq.(31) determining the range of validity of the obtained asymptotics.

5 Large order behaviour of $\Psi_{n,k}(x)$ at fixed x

An interesting feature of the asymptotics (39) constructed in previous section is the singularity of the pre-exponential factor near the point $\xi = 0$. Namely, small values of ξ correspond to $\tau \to +\infty$. Find the behaviour of Q, λ, A and the pre-exponential factor in eq.(39) at these values of τ. First, notice that the coordinate Q behaves as $\tau \to +\infty$ as follows, $Q \sim ce^{-\tau}$. The value of the constant c can be found by the following procedure. Consider a small quantity q_0. The classical trajectory $Q(\tau)$ (fig.1b) passes through this point, q_0, at two euclidean time moments being approximately equal to $\ln q_0$ and $\ln c/q_0$ because of the $Q(\tau)$ asymptotics as $\tau \to \pm\infty$. On the other hand, the difference between these time moments $\ln c - 2\ln q_0$ is equal to $2\int_{q_0}^{Q_+} dq/\sqrt{2V(Q)}$, so that

$$c = \exp 2(\ln Q_+ + \int_0^{Q_+} dq(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2V(q)}} - \frac{1}{q})). \tag{42}$$

Making use of eqs.(24),(25),(30), we obtain

$$\lambda \sim s(+\infty) - c^2 e^{-2\tau}, \xi \sim \frac{ce^{-\tau}}{\sqrt{s(+\infty)}}, A \sim \ln s(+\infty) - \xi^2/2.$$

Taking into account all factors, find that at small ξ the asymptotics (39) transforms to the following formula,

$$\frac{e^{k\xi^2/2}}{(\xi\sqrt{k})^{n+1}} \frac{c^{n+1/2}}{2\pi} \frac{k!k^{n-1/2}}{(s(+\infty))^{k+n+1/2}}, \tag{43}$$

i.e. the pre-exponential factor diverges as $1/\xi^{n+1}$, where n is a number of level.

On the other hand, each order of the eigenfunction perturbation theory is nonsingular everywhere and, in particular, at the point $\xi = 0$. The difficulty can be resolved as follows. As it has been shown in the previous section, the obtained asymptotic formula (39) may be not valid at $k\xi^2 \sim 1$, i.e. at fixed argument of $\Psi_{n,k}$ because two sides of eq.(4) become of the same order. Therefore, the asymptotics under conditions

$$k \to \infty, x = const, \tag{44}$$

has another form than eq.(43) and is non-singular. It is investigated in this section and allows us to find the large order asymptotics for eigenvalues which is also studied in this section.

Let us look for the asymptotics under conditions (44) in a form,

$$\Psi_{n,k}(x) \sim \frac{c^{n+1/2}}{2\pi} \frac{k! k^{n-1/2}}{(s(+\infty))^{k+n+1/2}} X_n(x). \tag{45}$$

Take into account that at $k\xi^2 \gg 1, k\xi^3 \ll 1$ both eqs.(43) and (45) are applicable. This means that the function X_n behaves at large x as follows,

$$X_n(x) \sim e^{x^2/2}/x^{n+1}, x \to \infty. \tag{46}$$

Let us also look for the eigenvalue high order asymptotics in the form:

$$E_{n,k} \sim \frac{c^{n+1/2}}{2\pi} \frac{k! k^{n-1/2}}{(s(+\infty))^{k+n+1/2}} \mathcal{E}_n \tag{47}$$

and consider the substitution of eqs.(45),(47) to the recursive relations (4). Notice that at fixed x and larges k the first term at the left-hand side of eq.(4) prevails others, while right-hand side contains two terms of the same order, $E_{n,0}\Psi_{n,k}$ and $E_{n,k}\Psi_{n,0}$. Therefore, the following equation is obtained for X_n:

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{x^2}{2} - n - 1/2\right) X_n(x) = \mathcal{E}_n \Psi_{n,0}(x), \tag{48}$$

It has the form of a harmonic oscillator equation with the right-hand side.

First of all, solve eq.(48) with the boundary condition (46) at $n = 0$, so that $\Psi_{0,0}(x) = e^{-x^2/2}$. Let us search for the solution in a form:

$$X_0(x) = Y(x) e^{-x^2/2},$$

for $Y^{'}(x)$ one can obtain the boundary condition $Y^{'}(x) \sim 2e^{x^2}$ and the equation

$$-(Y^{'} e^{-x^2})^{'} = 2e^{-x^2}.$$

Thus,the solution X_0 is the following:

$$X_0(x) = -\frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} \int_0^x dx^{'} e^{x^{'2}} \int_0^{x^{'}} dx^{''} e^{-x^{''2}},$$

the boundary condition (5) is taken into account. The quantity $\mathcal{E}_0$ is equal to $-2/\sqrt{\pi}$.

Functions X_n can be derived from the function X_0 with the help of the creation operator $x - d/dx$. Namely, if the function X_n satisfies eqs.(48),(46) then the function

$$X_{n+1} = \frac{1}{n+1}(x - d/dx)X_n$$

satisfies these relations, too. The constant $\mathcal{E}_{n+1}$ is then $\mathcal{E}_{n+1} = 2\mathcal{E}_n/(n+1)$, because the normalizing factor in $\Psi_{n,0}$ is chosen in order to satisfy the relation (3). Notice also that we can add the factor $C_n\Psi_{n,0}$ to the function X_n. The constant C_n can be fixed by the condition (5). Thus, we obtain

$$X_n = \frac{1}{n!}(x - d/dx)^n X_0 + C_n \Psi_{n,0}, \mathcal{E}_n = -\frac{2^{n+1}}{n!\sqrt{\pi}}. \tag{49}$$

where

$$C_n = -\frac{1}{n!}\frac{d^n}{dx^n}\left[\frac{1}{n!}(x - \frac{d}{dx})^n X_0 e^{x^2/2}\right],$$

the derivative is taken at $x = 0$.

The asymptotics for eigenvalues (45) coincides with the obtained one in [5, 7].

The asymptotic formula (43) can be checked by numerical calculations, too. Consider the functions

$$X_{0,k}(x) = \sum_{l=1}^{2k} \frac{A_{k,l}x^{2l}}{A_{k,1}} e^{-x^2/2}, \tag{50}$$

which are proportional to $\Psi_{0,k}(x)$ and satisfy the condition

$$X_{0,k}(x)e^{x^2/2} \sim x^2 + ..., x \to 0.$$

Eq.(45) implies that this function tends as $k \to \infty$ to the solution to eq.(46) as $n = 0$ which is shown by dashed line in fig.5. Functions $X_{0,k}$ as $k = 10, 20, 30, 40$ are shown by solid lines for the potential (33) in fig.5. The figure shows that these functions indeed tend to the solution to eq.(48).

6 Large order behaviour of perturbation theory for density matrix and some matrix elements

In previous sections large order behaviour of $\Psi_{n,k}$ has been found. But for calculating high order asymptotics of matrix elements it is necessary to know such asymptotics for the quantities

$$\rho^{n_1 n_2}(x_1, x_2) = \Psi_{n_1}(x_1)\Psi_{n_2}(x_2) \tag{51}$$

Namely, matrix elements are expressed as a trace of a product of this matrix by the operator corresponding to the observable. When $n_1 = n_2$, the matrix (51) is a density matrix. One can expand eq.(51) into a series in g,

$$\rho^{n_1 n_2}(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g^k \rho_k^{n_1 n_2}(x_1, x_2)$$

and express the coefficients through the quantities $\Psi_{n,k}$,

$$\rho_k^{n_1 n_2}(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{m=0}^{k} \Psi_{n_1,m}(x_1)\Psi_{n_1,k-m}(x_2). \tag{52}$$

This sum is estimated in subsection 6.1, while the perturbation series asymptotics for some matrix elements is looked for in subsection 6.2.

6.1 Calculation of the $\rho_k^{n_1 n_2}$ asymptotics

Let us calculate the sum (52) at large k. Since the $\Psi_{n,m}(x)$ asymptotics is already known as m is proportional to the large parameter N and $x \sim \sqrt{N}$, one can estimate the sum (52) under conditions

$$k = N\kappa, x_1 = \sqrt{N}\eta_1, x_2 = \sqrt{N}\eta_2. \tag{53}$$

Denoting $m = N\mu$ and making use of eq.(11), we obtain:

$$\rho_k^{n_1 n_2} \sim \sum_{N\mu=0}^{N\kappa} N^{k+\frac{n_1+n_2}{2}-1} \chi_{n_1}(\mu, \eta_1)\chi_{n_2}(\kappa - \mu, \eta_2) e^{-N(S(\mu,\eta_1)+S(\kappa-\mu,\eta_2))} \tag{54}$$

Consider the point μ_0 being the point of minimum of the function

$$B(\mu) = S(\mu, \eta_1) + S(\kappa - \mu, \eta_2) \tag{55}$$

and suppose μ_0 to belong the interval $(0, \kappa)$. The main contribution to the sum (54) is then given by any small interval $(\mu_0 - b/N^\beta, \mu_0 + b/N^\beta)$, where $0 < \beta < 1/2$. Therefore, the pre-exponential factors can be replaced by their values at point μ_0, while the function B can be substituted by the expression

$$B(\mu) \sim B(\mu_0) + \frac{1}{2} B^{''}(\mu_0)(\mu - \mu_0)^2.$$

As the distance between neighbour values of μ is equal to $1/N$, the sum over μ can be replaced by the integral $N \int d\mu$. Making use of these observations and calculating the Gaussian integral over μ, we obtain that

$$\rho_k^{n_1 n_2} \sim N^{k + \frac{n_1 + n_2 - 1}{2}} \exp(-N B_0) \sqrt{2\pi / B''(\mu_0)} \chi_{n_1}(\mu_0, \eta_1) \chi_{n_2}(\kappa - \mu_0, \eta_2) \tag{56}$$

If there are several minima of B with the same value, one should take all of them into account; expression (56) should be replaced then by the sum of analogous expressions associated with corresponding minima.

Let us make use of the explicit form of the functions χ_n, S and simplify eq.(56). Convert from quantities μ, η_1 to parameters p_{κ_1}, τ_1 and from quantities $\kappa - \mu, \eta_2$ to parameters p_{κ_2}, τ_2 according to eq.(23). The condition $B^{'}(\mu_0) = 0$ means that $p_{\kappa_1} = p_{\kappa_2} = p_\kappa$. Therefore, in order to find the $\rho_k^{n_1 n_2}$ asymptotics under conditions (53) one must first find the parameters $p_{\kappa, \tau_1, \tau_2}$ according to the following relations,

$$\kappa = (\lambda(\tau_1) + \lambda(\tau_2)) e^{-p_\kappa}, \eta_1 = Q(\tau_1) e^{-p_{\kappa/2}}, \eta_2 = Q(\tau_2) e^{-p_{\kappa/2}}. \tag{57}$$

The quantity $B(\mu_0)$ has then the form

$$B_0 = (s(\tau_1) + s(\tau_2) + (\lambda(\tau_1) + \lambda(\tau_2)) p_\kappa) e^{-p_\kappa},$$

while the second derivative of it is as follows,

$$B''(\mu_0) = \frac{\partial p_{\kappa_1}(\mu_0, \eta_1)}{\partial \mu_0} - \frac{\partial p_{\kappa_2}(\kappa - \mu_0, \eta_2)}{\partial \mu_0} = \frac{\partial \eta_1/\partial \tau_1}{J(\tau_1, p_\kappa)} + \frac{\partial \eta_2/\partial \tau_2}{J(\tau_2, p_\kappa)}, \tag{58}$$

where J is the Jacobian (36). Collecting all obtained factors, one can find that the $\rho_k^{n_1 n_2}$ asymptotics under conditions (53) has the form of a product of a power function of N, slowly varying pre-exponential factor and rapidly varying exponent:

$$\rho_k^{n_1 n_2} \sim N^{k + \frac{n_1 + n_2 - 1}{2}} \exp(-NB_0)\gamma, \tag{59}$$

where

$$\gamma = \frac{e^{-\frac{n_1+n_2-1}{2} p_\kappa} e^{(n_1+1/2)\tau_1 + (n_2+1/2)\tau_2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sqrt{D}},$$

$$D = \dot{Q}(\tau_1)[Q(\tau_2)\dot{\lambda}(\tau_2)/2 - \lambda(\tau_2)\dot{Q}(\tau_2)] + \dot{Q}(\tau_2)[Q(\tau_1)\dot{\lambda}(\tau_1)/2 - \lambda(\tau_1)\dot{Q}(\tau_1)],$$

the quantities p_κ, τ_1, τ_2 are to be expressed from eq.(57).

6.2 High order asymptotics of some matrix elements

This subsection deals with the following example of application of the obtained asymptotics formula (59). Large order behaviour of perturbation theory for matrix elements such as

$$< n_2 | x^{m_1} (-d/dx)^{m_2} | n_1 > = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g^k < n_2 | x^{m_1} (-d/dx)^{m_2} | n_1 >_k, \tag{60}$$

where n_1 and n_2 are numbers of levels, is studied. It occurs that calculation of such asymptotics is based on the behaviour of eq.(59) at coinciding arguments,

$$\eta_1 = \eta_2 = \eta. \tag{61}$$

Namely, the k-th order of perturbation theory for the quantity (60) can be rewritten in a form,

$$\int dx dy \delta(x-y) x^{m_1} (-d/dx)^{m_2} \rho_k^{n_1 n_2}(x,y). \tag{62}$$

The m_2-th derivative of ρ with respect to x is approximately equal to the product of ρ by $(\sqrt{N}\partial B_0/\partial\eta_1)^{m_2}$. Therefore, it is necessary to know the functions B_0, γ only at the surface (61).

Let us now find the parameters τ_1, τ_2, p_κ when eq.(61) is satisfied. Notice first that one of possible choices of τ_1, τ_2 is as follows,$\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau$, and, therefore,

$$\eta/\sqrt{\kappa} = Q(\tau)/\sqrt{2\lambda(\tau)}. \tag{63}$$

This choice corresponds to the following selection of the parameter μ in eq.(55),$\mu = \kappa/2$. It is always the extremum of the function $B(\mu)$. Eq.(58) implies that this extremum is minimum when $\dot{Q} > 0$ (fig.6a) and maximum when $\dot{Q} < 0$ (fig.6b). Therefore, if the parameter τ obtained from eq.(63) corresponds to negative values of the velocity then it is necessary to find other extremum of $B(\mu)$ that is minimum.

Another possibility is as follows. Since the trajectory $Q(\tau)$ passes through each point twice, one can consider the parameters τ_1, τ_2 such that $Q(\tau_1) = Q(\tau_2)$ but $\dot{Q}(\tau_1) > 0, \dot{Q}(\tau_2) < 0$ (see fig.6c),so that

$$\eta/\sqrt{\kappa} = Q(\tau_1)/\sqrt{s(+\infty)}. \tag{64}$$

This selection is possible when $\eta/\sqrt{\kappa} < Q_+/\sqrt{s(+\infty)}$, contrary to the previous case being possible as $\eta/\sqrt{\kappa} > Q_+/\sqrt{s(+\infty)}$, and corresponds to minimum of B, because $J(\tau_2) - J(\tau_1) = e^{-3p_\kappa/2}\dot{Q}(\tau_1)s(+\infty) > 0$ and, thereby, $B''(\mu_0) > 0$. Take also into account that we can exchange the variables μ and $\kappa - \mu$ and obtain another minimum of B.

Making use of the cited observations, let us find the constants B, γ in the $\rho^{n_1 n_2}_{N\kappa}(\sqrt{N}\eta, \sqrt{N}\eta)$ asymptotics:

(a) $\eta/\sqrt{\kappa} > Q_+/\sqrt{s(+\infty)}$:

$$B = \kappa(\frac{s(\tau)}{\lambda(\tau)} + \ln\frac{2\lambda(\tau)}{\kappa}),$$

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{\kappa}{2\lambda}\right)^{(n_1+n_2-1)/2} \frac{e^{(n_1+n_2+1)\tau}}{\sqrt{2\dot{Q}(\tau)[Q(\tau)\dot{\lambda}(\tau)/2 - \lambda(\tau)\dot{Q}(\tau)]}}.$$

Notice that in this case $\dot{B} = -\dot{Q}J/\lambda^2 < 0$, so that as τ decreases, B increases. Therefore, the main contribution to the integral (62) is given by a region near the critical value of $\eta/\sqrt{\kappa}$, $Q_+/\sqrt{s(+\infty)}$.

(b) $\eta/\sqrt{\kappa} < Q_+/\sqrt{s(+\infty)}$:

$$B = \kappa(1 + \ln\frac{s(+\infty)}{\kappa}),$$

$$\gamma = \frac{(\kappa/s(+\infty))^{(n_1+n_2-1)/2}}{2\pi s(+\infty)\dot{Q}(\tau_1)}[e^{(n_1+1/2)\tau_1+(n_2+1/2)\tau_2} + e^{(n_1+1/2)\tau_2+(n_2+1/2)\tau_1}], \quad (65)$$

the existence of two minima of the function B is taken into account. One can notice that the region (a) gives an exponentially small contribution to eq.(62).

Find now large order asymptotics of the matrix element (60). Its evaluation is based on the following observations:

(i) one can change the parameter x by a parameter τ_1, according to eq.(48), the measure dx transforms then to $\sqrt{N}\dot{Q}(\tau_1)e^{-p_\kappa/2}d\tau_1$, while the derivation operator $-d/dx$ should be substituted by the operator of multiplication by $\sqrt{N}P(\tau_1)e^{-p_\kappa/2}$ at the first term in eq.(65) and by $\sqrt{N}P(\tau_2)e^{-p_\kappa/2}$ at the second;

(ii) the following relations are satisfied: $Ne^{-p_\kappa} = k/s(+\infty)$, $\tau_1 + \tau_2 = \ln c$, where the parameter c has the form (42);

(iii) one can divide the obtained integral over τ_1, where $\tau_1 < \tau_0, Q(\tau_0) = Q_+$ into two parts according to eq.(65), denote by τ the quantity τ_1 in the first integral and τ_2 in the second one, the rest parameter is then equal to $\ln c - \tau$; the integrands become coinciding then, while the region of integration is $\tau < \tau_0$ in the first integral and $\tau > \tau_0$ in the second, so their sum is an integral over $-\infty < \tau < +\infty$;

(iv) one can employ the asymptotic formula for $k!$;

(v) notice that at odd $m_1 + m_2 + n_1 + n_2$ the quantity (60) is obviously equal to zero, while at even $m_1 + m_2 + n_1 + n_2$ the integrals over regions $x < 0$ and $x > 0$

coincides, so one should increase the value of the integral over $x > 0$ in 2 times and obtain the following asymptotic formula:

$$< n_2|x^{m_1}(-d/dx)^{m_2}|n_1 >_k \sim \frac{\Gamma(k)}{\pi(s(+\infty))^k}\left(\frac{k}{s(+\infty)}\right)^{\frac{n_1+n_2+m_1+m_2}{2}}$$
$$\times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\tau e^{(n_2+1/2)\ln c} Q^{m_1}(\tau) P^{m_2}(\tau) e^{(n_1-n_2)\tau}, \qquad (66)$$

Let us discuss the obtained result (66). Notice first that if we substitute τ by $\ln c - \tau$, this expression will be multiplied by $(-1)^{m_2}$, while the numbers n_1 and n_2 will exchange. This fact is in agreement with the definition (60).

Second, notice that when m_1 and m_2 increase, the growth of the coefficients is faster. The $(k-1)$-th order for the matrix element of x^m and the k-th order for such quantity for x^{m-2} have the same order of growth. Therefore, the k-th order behaviour for all powers of $gx^2, g(d/dx)^2$ is of the same order.

Large order asymptotics for quantities such as "Green functions"

$$< n_2|x(\tau_1, ..., \tau_m|n_1 >_k, \qquad (67)$$

where $x(\tau)$ is an operator of the form $x(\tau) = e^{\mathcal{H}\tau} x e^{-\mathcal{H}\tau}$ can be also studied. Namely, denote the solution to eq.(14) satisfying initial conditions $P(0) = P_0, Q(0) = Q_0$ by $P(\tau, Q_0, P_0), Q(\tau, Q_0, P_0)$. The operator $x(\tau)$ is then approximately equal to

$$x(\tau) \sim g^{-1/2} Q(\tau, \sqrt{g}x, -\sqrt{g}d/dx).$$

One can expand this function into a series in operators x and $-d/dx$ and apply eq.(66). It occurs then that large order asymptotics for eq.(67) can be obtained from eq.(66) with the help of substituting m_1 by m,m_2 by 0, $Q^m(\tau)$ by a product $Q(\tau+\tau_1)...Q(\tau+\tau_m)$.This result is in agreement with the Lipatov method [2] of finding asymptotics for such quantities as (67) for the ground state, namely, eq.(67) can be presented as an integral over trajectories

$$\int Dx \oint \frac{dg}{2\pi i g^{k+1}} x(\tau_1)...x(\tau_m) e^{-s(\sqrt{g}x)/g};$$

after substitution $x\sqrt{g} = Q, g = \lambda/k$ this integral becomes of the saddle-point type, saddle points being $Q = Q(\tau + \alpha), \lambda = s(+\infty)$ are to be taken into account, so the asymptotics of eq.(67) is expressed through the integral $\int d\alpha Q(\tau_1 + \alpha)...Q(\tau_n + \alpha)$.

7 Conclusions

In this paper the explicit mechanism showing the connection between large order recursive relations for stationary perturbation theory and classical euclidean equations of motion is found and high order asymptotics of the solution to the recursive relations is constructed. The results obtained by the path integral approach are indeed reproduced in the developed method. Moreover, when one finds high order asymptotics of matrix element (60), one can also find what region of integration in eq.(62) and what terms in the sum (52) give the main contribution to the quantity (60). Making use of the obtained large order asymptotics for the wave function and density matrix, one can also find the main values of quantities like $e^{-kf(x/\sqrt{k})}$ at the k-th order of perturbation theory as $k \to \infty$. All calculations are valid both for the ground and for the excited states.

An interesting feature of the eigenfunction large order perturbation theory as $x/\sqrt{k} = const$ is the divergence of the pre-exponential factor at small ξ. This difficulty is analogous with the divergence of the pre-exponential factor in the semiclassical expansion large order asymptotics discussed in [12]. The resolve of the difficulty is the following. At small ξ associated with the values of parameter x of order $O(1)$ the asymptotics is written in another form (45). It is expressed through the growing at infinity solution to the harmonic oscilator equation with non-trivial right-hand side (48). The connection between these asymptotics allows us to find the eigenvalue large order behaviour coinciding with previous papers [7].

The author is indebted to V.A.Rubakov for helpful discussions. The work is supported in part by ISF, grant # MKT000.

References

[1] F.J.Dyson *Phys. Rev.* **85** (1952) 631.

[2] L.N.Lipatov. *Sov. Phys. JETP* **45** (1977) 216.

[3] C.M.Bender, T.T.Wu *Phys.Rev.* **D7** (1973) 1620.

[4] T.Banks, C.M.Bender, T.T.Wu *Phys.Rev.* **D8** (1973) 3346.

[5] E.Brézin, J.C.Le Guoillou, J.Zinn-Justin *Phys.Rev.* **D15** (1977) 1558.

[6] E.Brézin, G.Parisi, J.Zinn-Justin *Phys.Rev.* **D16** (1977) 408.

[7] J.Zinn-Justin *Phys.Rep.***70** (1981) 109.

[8] V.A.Rubakov, O.Yu.Shvedov. *Nucl.Phys.* **B434** (1995) 245.

[9] O.Yu.Shvedov, quant-ph/9504014.

[10] C.M.Bender, T.T.Wu *Phys.Rev.* **184** (1969) 1231.

[11] V.P.Maslov. Asymptotic methods and perturbation theory. Moscow,Nauka,1988.

[12] O.Yu.Shvedov. quant-ph/9504012, submitted to Yadernaya Fizika.

Figure captions

Fig.1.

(a): The potential V versus Q;

(b): classical euclidean solution in phase space; the area of the section-lined region is equal to the quantity λ, eq.(24);

(c): the correspondence between the parameter ξ, eq.(25), and the coordinate Q.

Fig.2.

A set of classical trajectories in $\kappa - \eta$ space.

Fig.3.

The functions $A_k(\xi)$ defined by eq.(32) at various numbers of the order of perturbation theory,$k = 10, 20, 30$, are shown by dashed lines; the function $A(\xi)$, eq.(30), is shown by solid line.

Fig.4.

The ratio $M_k(\xi)$, eq.(40), at $k = 10, 20, 30, 40$ and the function $M(\xi)$ specified by eq.(41).

Fig.5.

The functions $X_{0,k}(x)$ defined by eq.(50) at $k = 10, 20, 30, 40$ (solid lines) and the solution to eq.(48) (dashed line).

Fig.6.

Classical trajectories in $\kappa - \eta$ space which correspond to various choices of the parameter μ, an extremum point of the function (55):

(a) $\mu = \kappa/2, \dot{Q}(\tau) > 0$;

(b) $\mu = \kappa/2, \dot{Q}(\tau) < 0$;

(c) $\mu \neq \kappa/2$.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/quant-ph/9505010v1

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/quant-ph/9505010v1